IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 9318 of 2001

Between:

Chitturi Venkat Rao,

..... PETITIONER

AND

1.The Superintending Engineer, APSEB, Presently

converted as A.P. TRANSCO, Eluru, W.G.Dist.
2 .The Divisional Engineer, APSEB, Presently converted as

APTRANSCO., Nidadavolu, W.G.Dist.

.....RESPONDENTS.

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO. 9318 OF 2001

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed seeking a direction to the respondents to restore the existing electricity power supply connection bearing No. 1893 without insisting for payment of arrears incurred by the previous owner.

In the affidavit filed in support of the Writ Petition, it is stated that the petitioner has purchased the M/s. Sreeenivasa Ecosize private Limited, situated in Jangareddygudem, West Godavari District, in the auction conducted by the Andhra Pradesh State Financial Corporation. After purchase of the said unit, he has applied for restoration of electricity power supply connection, which was given to the previous owner. When the respondents demanded for payment of arrears on account of power consumption, the petitioner has approached this Court.

In the counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents, it is stated, that there are arrears on the service connection provided to the earlier owner to the tune of Rs.1,21,527.47Ps.

In this case, the petitioner is not seeking new service connection. However, if the petitioner seeks restoration of earlier power connection, the respondents can insist for payment of arrears incurred by the earlier consumer. In that view of the matter, no direction can be issued to restore the earlier supply without insisting for payment of arrears. However, if the petitioner makes fresh application for grant of new power supply connection, the same can be considered as per the terms and conditions of supply, without insisting for payment of arrears incurred by the previous consumer.

Subject to the above direction, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

-

JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

DATED: 02-09-2005.

Msnr.